

No. 82-1474

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.

FILED

APR 25 1983

ALEXANDER L. STEVAS,  
CLERK

**In the Supreme Court**

OF THE

**United States**

OCTOBER TERM, 1982

CHARLES R. HOOVER, HOWARD H. KARMAN,  
ROBERT D. MYERS and HAROLD J. WOLFINGER,  
*Petitioners,*

vs.

EDWARD RONWIN,  
*Respondent.*

**Supplemental Brief Re Petition  
for Certiorari to the United States  
Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit**

CHARLES R. HOOVER  
111 West Monroe  
Phoenix, Arizona 85003  
(602) 262-5911

*Petitioner in Propria Persona,  
and Counsel of Record for  
the Remaining Petitioners*

DONN G. KESSLER  
JENNINGS, STROUSS & SALMON  
111 West Monroe  
Phoenix, Arizona 85003  
*Of Counsel*

No. 82-1474

---

In the Supreme Court  
OF THE  
United States

---

OCTOBER TERM, 1982

---

CHARLES R. HOOVER, HOWARD H. KARMAN,  
ROBERT D. MYERS and HAROLD J. WOLFINGER,  
*Petitioners,*

VS.

EDWARD RONWIN,  
*Respondent.*

---

**Supplemental Brief Re Petition  
for Certiorari to the United States  
Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit**

---

On March 2, 1983, Petitioners Charles R. Hoover, Howard H. Karman, Robert D. Myers and Harold J. Wolfinger, filed their Petition for Certiorari from the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

On March 23, 1983, the United States Supreme Court rendered its decision in *District of Columbia Court of Appeals, et al. v. Feldman, et al.*, 51 U.S.L.W. 4285 (No. 81-1335). In *District of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman, supra*, the United States Supreme Court held that in bar admission decisions, lower federal courts "do not have

jurisdiction . . . over challenges to state court decisions in particular cases arising out of judicial proceedings even if those challenges allege that the state court's action was unconstitutional." 51 U.S.L.W. at 4292.

Alternatively to granting petitioners' petition for certiorari, it would appear to be appropriate for this Court to vacate the decision of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and remand that decision for reconsideration in light of *District of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman*, *supra*.

### CONCLUSION

For these reasons, the decision of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals should be vacated and the matter remanded to that court for reconsideration in light of *District of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman*, *supra*.

Respectfully submitted,

CHARLES R. HOOVER  
111 West Monroe  
Phoenix, Arizona 85003  
(602) 262-5911  
*Petitioner in Propria Persona,  
and Counsel of Record for  
the Remaining Petitioners*

DONN G. KESSLER  
JENNINGS, STROUSS & SALMON  
111 West Monroe  
Phoenix, Arizona 85003  
*Of Counsel*

April 22, 1983